Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/654,328	BRENNER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Maher M. Haddad	1644
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Maher M. Haddad</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Maria Trevisan</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 26 April 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	3 DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writto ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
H e.c.		
Mahn Hoddad		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – it appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner indicated that the Information Disclosure Statement (IDS), filed on 2/12/04, contains the International Preliminary Examination Report from the International Application No. PCT/US00/24101 but lack the specific references. Applicant asserts that the IDS, filed on 2/12/04 was inadvertently missing the references. Further Applicant indicates that the IDS mailed on 4/23/01 lists the same references cited in the IDS filed on 2/12/04 (i.e., the International Search Report from Application PCT/US00/24101). Thus, the missing references are duplicate of the references filed on 4/23/01 which were previously considered by the Examiner..